

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,166	03/01/2004		Israel R. Charo	02307K-085041US	3893
20350	7590	04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND AN	KOLKER, DANIEL E		
	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				1649	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/791,166	CHARO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel Kolker	1649	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,7,9,10,13,16 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented: See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \times The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment includes new limitations in claim 1, specifically recitation of particular diseases or conditions, which were not presented previously. The proposed amendment therefore raises new issues of enablement not previously considered, and also raises the issue of new matter. Furthermore, the newly-proposed limitations have not been searched.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The effective filing date of the pending claims is 1 March 2004 for reasons previously made of record. Applicant's arguments that he was in possession of the claimed invention as of 13 January 1994 are not persuasive. The arguments submitted 17 March 2006 refer to parent application 08/446669, now US Patent 6,132,987. The '987 patent incorporates by reference US 5,194,375. At column 16 line 58 of the '987 patent, binding assays are described and those binding assays set forth in the '375 patent are incorporated. While the '375 patent does also mention methods of making monoclonal antibodies to IL-7 receptor, the '987 patent does not incorporate this subject matter. Applicant is reminded that a statement of incorporation by reference is not sufficient to incorporate all teachings of the reference. As set forth on p. 5 of the office action mailed 18 November 2005, the material that is specifically incorporated should be pointed out.

In the instant situation, the '987 patent clearly incorporates by reference the binding assays of the '375 patent. However there is no specific incorporation by reference to making of antibodies, monoclonal or polyclonal, in the '987 patent, or treatment of diseases characterized by monocytic infiltrates by administration of anti-MCP-1 antibodies. Thus, it is clear that the first contemplation of treatment of disease by administration of antibodies to the MCP-1 receptor is the date the instant application was filed, 1 March 2004.

Thus the rejections of record, including the rejections over prior art, stand for the reasons set forth in the previous office actions.

ROBERT C. HAYES, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER